



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON THE LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OF A QUADRATIC FORM INTO ITSELF*

BY

PERCEY F. SMITH

The problem of the determination † of all linear transformations possessing an invariant quadratic form, is well known to be classic. It enjoyed the attention of EULER, CAYLEY and HERMITE, and reached a certain stage of completeness in the memoirs of FROBENIUS,‡ VOSS,§ LINDEMANN|| and LOEWY.¶ The investigations of CAYLEY and HERMITE were confined to the general transformation, FROBENIUS then determined all proper transformations, and finally the problem was completely solved by LINDEMANN and LOEWY, and simplified by VOSS.

The present paper attacks the problem from an altogether different point, the fundamental idea being that of building up any such transformation from simple elements. The primary transformation is taken to be *central reflection* in the quadratic locus defined by setting the given form equal to zero. This transformation is otherwise called in three dimensions, point-plane reflection,—point and plane being pole and polar plane with respect to the fundamental quadric. In this way, every linear transformation of the desired form is found to be a product of central reflections. The maximum number necessary for the most general case is the number of variables. VOSS, in the first memoir cited, proved this theorem for the general transformation, assuming the latter given by the equations of CAYLEY. In the present paper, however, the theorem is derived synthetically, and from this the analytic form of the equations of transformation is deduced.

* Presented to the Society December 29, 1903. Received for publication, July 2, 1904.

† The results of §§ 1, 2 were communicated to the American Mathematical Society in December, 1901.

‡ FROBENIUS, *Ueber linear substitutionen und bilinear Formen*, Crelle, vol. 84 (1878), pp. 1-63.

§ VOSS, *Zur theorie der orthogonalen substitutionen*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 13 (1878), pp. 320-374; *Ueber die cogrediente Transformation der bilinearen formen in sich selbst*, Münchener Berichte (1896), pp. 1-23.

|| LINDEMANN, *Vorlesungen über Geometrie*, vol. 2 (1891), p. 356; *Ueber die linearen Transformationen einer quadratischen Mannigfaltigkeit in sich*, Münchener Berichte (1896), pp. 31-66.

¶ LOEWY, *Ueber die Transformationen einer quadratischen Form in sich selbst*, Leop. Nova Acta, Halle, vol. 65 (1896), pp. 1-66.

The theory of central reflections developed in § 1 is so simple, and the analytic representation derived therefrom so direct that the present discussion presents a complete solution of the problem which may be regarded as elementary. Furthermore, the equations (24) found in explicit form for every such transformation have not been given elsewhere, as far as the author's knowledge goes.

In §§ 5, 6 are given some applications, and in § 7 the solution of the corresponding problem for the alternating bilinear form. The results of the first six sections apply, of course, to the symmetrical bilinear form.

§ 1. *The theory of central reflections.*

Let

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \sum a_{ik} x_i x_k, \quad a_{ik} = a_{ki} \quad (i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

be the given quadratic form. The quadratic locus $f(x) = 0$ in linear space R_{n-1} of $n - 1$ dimensions may be called after Cayley the "absolute." Any group of r independent sets of coördinates

$$(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}), \dots, (a_1^{(r)}, a_2^{(r)}, \dots, a_n^{(r)}),$$

determine a linear manifold M_{n-r-1} of $n - r - 1$ dimensions, the coördinates x of any point of which are linearly derived from the a 's:

$$x_i = \lambda^{(1)} a_i^{(1)} + \lambda^{(2)} a_i^{(2)} + \dots + \lambda^{(r)} a_i^{(r)} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The involutory transformation which forms the basis of the discussion is the "central reflection" of VOSS (loc. cit.), or the point-plane reflection, as it is often called, point and plane standing in the relation of pole and polar with respect to $f(x) = 0$. I shall denote by $\{a\}$ the reflection in the point a and its polar plane, and by $x\{a\}x'$ the fact of x transforming into x' , from which also, since the transformation is involutory, will follow $x'\{a\}x$. From the definition of the transformation x' , x and a are collinear, hence $x' = x + \lambda a$, and since by hypothesis, $f(x') \equiv f(x)$, we find for the equations of $\{a\}$

$$(2) \quad x' = x - \frac{2f(x, a)}{f(a)} a, \quad \text{or} \quad x = x' - \frac{2f(x', a)}{f(a)} a,$$

where, of course, $f(a) \neq 0$ (and may be taken equal to 1 when desired), because the center a must not lie on the absolute.

Evidently the center a and each point in the polar M_{n-2} of a , viz., $f(x, a) = 0$ are invariant under $\{a\}$.

The product $\{ab\}$ of two reflections $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ comes out as

$$(3) \quad x' = x - \frac{2f(x, a)}{f(a)} a - \frac{2f(x, b)}{f(b)} b + \frac{4f(x, a)f(a, b)}{f(a)f(b)} b.$$

This is symmetrical in a and b when and only when $f(a, b) = 0$, i. e., we have the result:

Two central reflections $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are commutative, when and only when a and b are conjugate points with respect to the absolute.*

The important question is now this: When is $\{ab\} \equiv \{cd\}$?

A necessary condition is expressed in

THEOREM 1. If $\{a^{(1)}a^{(2)}a^{(3)} \dots a^{(r)}\} \equiv \{b^{(1)}b^{(2)}b^{(3)} \dots b^{(r)}\}$, then each of the centers b is numerically derived from the centers $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(r)}$.†

Take $r = 2$. Then from (3), $\{ab\} \equiv \{cd\}$ gives as a necessary and sufficient condition

$$(4) \quad \frac{f(x, a)}{f(a)}a + \left(\frac{f(x, b)}{f(b)} - \frac{2f(x, a)f(a, b)}{f(a)f(b)} \right)b \\ \equiv \frac{f(x, c)}{f(c)}c + \left(\frac{f(x, d)}{f(d)} - \frac{2f(x, c)f(c, d)}{f(c)f(d)} \right)d$$

for every value of x . If $c \neq a$, take $f(x, c) = 0$, and $f(x, a) \neq 0$. Then d is numerically derived from a and b . Similarly for c .

The following proof involving the principles of GRASSMANN'S *Ausdehnungslehre*‡ is general and very direct. Regarding a, b, c, d as extensive magnitudes or complex quantities, and the coefficients in (4) as scalars, we notice that the coefficient of b is of the same form as that of a diminished by a multiple of the latter. Now write down (4) with x replaced by x' , and multiply the corresponding members of the two equations together using the "combinatorial law" $ab = -ba$, $cd = -dc$; then, taking $f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = f(d) = 1$, we get easily

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(x, a) & f(x, b) \\ f(x', a) & f(x', b) \end{vmatrix} ab \equiv \begin{vmatrix} f(x, c) & f(x, d) \\ f(x', c) & f(x', d) \end{vmatrix} cd,$$

in which the scalar coefficients are determinants. In this form the theorem follows at once, and as the method is in no wise different for the general case, the truth of the proposition is established.

It is now necessary to add only the following theorem, after which a complete theory of central reflections may be developed by synthesis.

THEOREM 2. The product $\{ab\}$ of two central reflections may be resolved in ∞^1 ways into $\{cd\}$, the centers c and d lying on the manifold M_1 derived from a and b . Either c or d may be chosen arbitrarily on this line. The other is then uniquely determined.

For if $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = 1$, and $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda\mu f(a, b) = 1$, then $\{ab\} \equiv \{cd\}$ if $c = \lambda a + \mu b$, and $d = -\mu a + [\lambda + 2\mu f(a, b)]b$, as direct substitution in

* VOSS, loc. cit., p. 345.

† We assume $r > n$, and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r independent.

‡ *Ausdehnungslehre* von 1862, p. 42.

(4) will show.* Furthermore, we cannot write $\{ab\} \equiv \{cd\} \equiv \{cd'\}$ unless $\{d\} \equiv \{d'\}$. For $\{ecd\}$ would in this case be identical with $\{ecd'\}$, and since $\{cc\}$ is the identical transformation, we must also have $\{d\} \equiv \{d'\}$.

The invariant configuration of $\{ab\}$ is made up of the points of intersection of the line ab with the absolute, and every point of the M_{n-3} common to the two polar manifolds M_{n-2} of a and b .†

It is to be noted also that $\lambda a + \mu b$ lies upon the absolute when

$$\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 2\lambda\mu f(a, b) = 0,$$

which is the condition of coincidence of c and d , i. e., in the resolution of $\{ab\}$ the express hypothesis made in (2) that a center shall not lie upon the absolute is equivalent to coincidence of c and d .

Pass on now to $r = 3$, and the proof of

THEOREM 3. *The product of three central reflections $\{abc\}$ may always be resolved in ∞^3 ways into $\{def\}$. The first center is any point in the plane of a, b, c ; but the line joining e and f is then uniquely determined, though either e or f may be chosen at will upon this line.*

Given, then, the three centers a, b, c , and any center d in their plane. If the point b' of intersection of ad and bc is not on the absolute, then by theorem 2, $\{abc\} \equiv \{ab'c'\} \equiv \{def\}$. Suppose, however, $f(b') = 0$, and let a' be any center on ab , so that we may write $\{ab\} \equiv \{a'b'\}$. Then the lines da' and cb' are projectively related, and accordingly their point of intersection b'' describes a conic containing d and c . Since d and c are not on the absolute, this conic cannot be the intersection of the plane of centers with the absolute, and b'' may be assumed a center. Hence we now have $\{abc\} \equiv \{a'b'c\} \equiv \{a'b''c'\} \equiv \{def\}$, and the first part of theorem 3 is proved.

For the rest, if $(def) \equiv (de'f')$, then $(ef) \equiv (e'f')$ and the second part follows at once from theorem 2.

It appears, therefore, that the transformation $\{abc\}$ establishes in the plane of centers a point-line relation between the first center d and the line ef upon which the remaining two must then lie.

This relation is a *correlation*. For if f is any center on ef , then $\{def\} \equiv \{d_1e_1f_1\}$; and if de and d_1e_1 intersect in d' , we may write $\{d'e'f\} \equiv \{d'e'_1f_1\}$. Hence e' and e'_1 are on ef , and e' and d' coincide with e and d respectively. Therefore as f in $\{def\}$ moves along ef , the corresponding line turns around d . Hence the transformation $\{abc\}$ establishes a duality $\{\Gamma\}$ in the plane of centers, such that the second and third centers lie upon the line that corresponds under $\{\Gamma\}$ to the first center. Furthermore, since

* The equations simply indicate that a, b and c, d are corresponding points of a projectivity on the line of centers and with fixed points upon the absolute.

† The line joining a and b touches the absolute when $f(a)f(b) = [f(a, b)]^2$.

$\{\text{def}\} \equiv \{\text{ed}'f\} \equiv \{\text{efd}''\}$, f lies on the correlate of e , and finally, as the preceding shows that a point and its line under $\{\Gamma\}$ are united only when the point is on the absolute, we have

THEOREM 4. *The transformation $\{\text{abc}\}$ establishes in the plane of centers a duality which is characteristic of the transformation. In any resolution of $\{\text{abc}\}$, the line corresponding in this duality to any center contains the following centers of the product. Coincidence of point and line under this duality occurs when and only when the point is on the absolute.*

The generalization of this theorem is at once accomplished by complete induction. Assume, then, that theorems 3 and 4 hold for the product of any p central reflections $p < n$. Write the transformation

$$\{\mathbf{T}_{p+1}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(p)}\mathbf{a}^{(p+1)}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{T}_p\}.$$

Let $b^{(1)}$ be any point in the M_p numerically derived from $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(p+1)}$, and suppose the line $a^{(1)}b^{(1)}$ intersects the locus of centers of $\{\mathbf{T}_p\}$ in $a^{(0)}$. Considerations analogous to the preceding permit us to assume $a^{(0)}$ not on the absolute, and we may by hypothesis write $\{\mathbf{T}_p\} \equiv \{\mathbf{a}^{(0)}\mathbf{T}_{p-1}\}$. Since also $\{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(0)}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}\mathbf{b}^{(0)}\}$, we evidently have $\{\mathbf{T}_{p+1}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}\mathbf{T}'_p\}$. The remainder of the proof follows as easily and may be omitted, the statement following.

THEOREM 5. *The transformation $\{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(r)}\}$ compounded of r independent central reflections establishes within the M_{r-1} numerically derived from the centers $a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(r)}$, a duality which is characteristic of the transformation. We may resolve the transformation in $\infty^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)}$ ways, the first center being any point in M_{r-1} , and the following limited only by the condition that the M_{r-2} corresponding to any one of them in the duality contains all the succeeding centers. Coincidence of point and corresponding M_{r-2} occurs when and only when the point is on the absolute. The transformation depends upon $r(n-1) - \frac{1}{2}r(r-1) = \frac{1}{2}r(2n-r-1)$ essential parameters.*

From this result we may at once state

THEOREM 6. *Any product of reflections in centers lying in an M_{r-1} may always be reduced to one of r factors or fewer. The most general transformation compounded of central reflections may therefore be reduced to one of n factors.*

The proof follows at once from theorem 5. For example, $\{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(n)}\mathbf{a}\}$ may be written $\{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}\mathbf{b}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{b}^{(n-1)}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\}$ or $\{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}\mathbf{b}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{b}^{(n-1)}\}$. For any, $\mathbf{T}_p = \{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(p)}\}$ the polar M_{n-p-1} with respect to $f=0$ of the locus of centers is a locus of invariant points. All remaining invariant points lie in the locus of centers.

§ 2. On the resolution of any orthogonal transformations into central reflections.

The quadratic form of the preceding section is now assumed to be

$$\phi(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots x_n^2.$$

Let $\{\mathbf{T}\}$, defined by the equations

$$(4) \quad y_i = \sum_k \alpha_{ik} x_k \quad (i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

be any orthogonal transformation, i. e., $\phi(y) \equiv \phi(x)$. The question is to show that $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ is a product of central reflections. This is known to be the case for $n = 2$, for example. For if we interpret x_1 and x_2 as Cartesian rectangular coordinates in the plane, $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ is either a rotation around the origin or a reflection* in a line through the origin according as the determinant $|\alpha_{ik}|$ in (4) is 1 or -1 . But in the case of a rotation, $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ is compounded of two line reflections.

Knowing, then, that the orthogonal transformation for $n = 2$ is always a product of central reflection, I shall prove the theorem true in general by establishing the truth of the proposition:

An orthogonal transformation in n variables may always be resolved into one in $n - 1$ variables compounded with central reflections.†

We may assume in (4) that $\alpha_{nn} \neq 0$. For if this were the case, suppose $\alpha_{nr} \neq 0$, which must be true for some value of r . But the reflection $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ in the centre $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{r-1} = 0$, $a_r = 1$, $a_{r+1} = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0$, $a_n = -1$, merely interchanges x_r and x_n , and, accordingly, composition of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ will give us a transformation for which the hypothesis holds.

Now suppose $\alpha_{ns} \neq 0$. Consider a reflection $\{\mathbf{b}\}$ for which only b_s and b_r do not vanish. This has the form

$$x_k = x'_k \quad (k \neq s \neq n),$$

$$x_s = \lambda x'_s + \mu x'_n,$$

$$x_n = \mu x'_s - \lambda x'_n,$$

where $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 = 1$. Then if $\lambda : \mu$ be chosen so that $\lambda \alpha_{ns} + \mu \alpha_{nn} = 0$, the compounded transformation $\{\mathbf{Tb}\}$, viz., $y_i = \sum_k \beta_{ik} x'_k$, is such that $\beta_{ns} = 0$, $\beta_{nn} \neq 0$, but otherwise $\beta_{nr} = \alpha_{nr}$. Hence we may multiply $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ by a product of central reflections such that finally $y_n = \gamma_{nn} x_n$. But for an orthogonal transformation‡ we must have $\gamma_{nn} = \pm 1$, and x_n must disappear from the other equations, and therefore the proposition is established.

Referring to the preceding theorems we may now state the fundamental result.

THEOREM 7. *Every linear transformation of a general quadratic form in n variables into itself may be compounded of n central reflections or fewer, and theorems 1-5 hold for all such transformations.*

* For this interpretation of the variables, a central reflection becomes the usual reflection in a line through the origin.

† This theorem is given by KRONECKER, Berliner Monatsberichte (1890), p. 1071, whose proof is essentially identical with the following.

‡ Since $\sum_i \alpha_{in} \alpha_{is} = 0$ ($i, s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$), and also $|\alpha_{in}| \neq 0$, we must have $\alpha_{in} = 0$, and from $\sum_i \alpha_{in}^2 + \gamma_{nn}^2 = 1$, $\gamma_{nn} = \pm 1$.

As already remarked, VOSS has proved that the *general* orthogonal substitution is compounded of n central reflections, and for this purpose he chooses the equations of the transformation in CAYLEY's form. He also points out that the determination of the centers is exactly analogous to that of finding a self-conjugate tetrahedron with respect to a quadric.* The association of the general transformation with a characteristic duality is implied in this statement, but very imperfectly. Moreover, since the formulas of CAYLEY apply only to *general proper* transformations, it is evident that the proof given by VOSS is incomplete.

§ 3. Equations of the transformations† compounded of n independent central reflections.

Since the general transformation is completely characterized by a correlation in which coincidence of point and corresponding M_{n-2} arises when the point is on the absolute, we begin with the duality $\{\Gamma\}$ defined by

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i,k} \beta_{ik} x_i y_k = 0 \quad (i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

For $x = y$, this must reduce to (1), or, $f(x) = 0$; i. e., $\beta_{ik} + \beta_{ki} = 2\lambda\alpha_{ik}$. Then if we set $\beta_{ik} - \beta_{ki} = 2\mu\alpha_{ik}$, we may write $\{\Gamma\}$ in the form

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i,k} (\lambda\alpha_{ik} + \mu\alpha_{ik}) x_i y_k = 0 \quad (\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{ki}, \alpha_{ik} = -\alpha_{ki}).$$

The equation (6) gives the most general form of the correlation in question, and shows that for a given $f(x)$, the variety is given by the number of the arbitrary α 's, viz., $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$; and accordingly, the number of essential parameters in the most general Hermite transformation is $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$.

The duality

$$(7) \quad f(x, y) \equiv \sum \alpha_{ik} x_i y_k = 0$$

is evidently the polar reciprocation with respect to the absolute, while

$$(8) \quad w(x, y) \equiv \sum \alpha_{ik} x_i y_k = 0 \quad (\alpha_{ik} = -\alpha_{ki})$$

is a *nullsystem*, since point and corresponding M_{n-2} lie in coincidence. The geometrical relation of the dualities (6), (7) and (8) is simply this. Under $\{\Gamma\}$ the point x gives the two M_{n-2} 's, M and M' say, whose equations are $\lambda f(x, y) + \mu w(x, y) = 0$, $\lambda f(x, y) - \mu w(x, y) = 0$; and therefore M and M' are divided harmonically by the polar of x with respect to the absolute and the correspondent of x in the nullsystem.‡

* Loc. cit., p. 349.

† It will be convenient to designate any linear transformation of a quadratic form into itself, an *Hermite transformation*. (Cf. LOEWY, loc. cit.)

‡ Cf. *Vorlesungen über Geometrie*, CLEBSCH-LINDEMANN, vol. 2, p. 402, for the corresponding discussion in ordinary space.

We proceed now to find the equations of the Hermite transformation $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ corresponding to the correlation $\{\Gamma\}$ defined by (6).

Let $x\{\mathbf{T}\}x'$, and suppose $\{\mathbf{T}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(n)}\}$, according to theorem 7. Choose a such that $a\{\Gamma\}M$, when M is the polar of x' , i. e.,

$$(9) \quad \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}) a_i \equiv \rho \sum_i a_{ik} x'_i \quad (i, k=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then x' is an *invariant* point for $\{\mathbf{aT}\}$, and hence $x\{\mathbf{a}\}x'$. That is, we have to eliminate the a from (9) and

$$(10) \quad x = x' - \frac{2f(x', a)}{f(a)} a.$$

Multiplying (9) by a_k and summing with respect to k , gives $\lambda f(a) = \rho f(x', a)$; hence (10) becomes

$$(11) \quad x = x' - \frac{2\lambda}{\rho} a;$$

and now eliminating a from (9) and (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} - \mu \alpha_{ik}) x'_i + \sum_s (\lambda a_{sk} + \mu \alpha_{sk}) x_s = 0 \quad (i, k, s=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The equations† of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ are then found by solving (12) for x' . Before taking up this question, however, an interesting geometrical theorem is at once read out of (12), viz.,

THEOREM 8. *Corresponding points in any general Hermite transformation are points which give the same M_{n-2} in the duality which is characteristic of the transformation.*

This theorem completes the connection between the transformation $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ and its corresponding duality, and would serve as basis of a purely geometrical discussion.

The equations of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ in the form † (12) are very convenient for discussion as the following deductions will show.

Write (12) when solved for x' in the form

$$(13) \quad x'_i = \sum_k c_{ik} x_k \quad (i, k=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then denoting the determinant $|\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}|$ by $\Delta(\lambda, \mu)$, we evidently have

$$(14) \quad |c_{ik}| \equiv (-1)^n \frac{\Delta(\lambda, \mu)}{\Delta(\lambda, -\mu)} = (-1)^n,$$

for $\Delta(\lambda, -\mu)$ is simply $\Delta(\lambda, \mu)$ with rows and columns interchanged. This

* Multiplying (12) by x'_i and x_k , respectively, and summing with respect to k gives $f(x) = f(x')$, a verification.

† I do not find the Hermite transformation so given elsewhere. See, for example, LOEWY, loc. cit., pp. 9 et seq.

result is a verification, for the determinant of a central reflection is -1 , and of course of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$, should be $(-1)^n$. Hence

THEOREM 9. *The equations (12) define a proper or improper Hermite transformation according as n is even or odd.*

The solution of (12) is obtained at once by first solving (9) for the a 's and then substituting in (11). Following this method we readily find for the c 's of (13) the equations:

$$(15) \quad c_{ii} = \frac{\Delta(\lambda, \mu) - 2\lambda \sum_k a_{ki} \Delta_{ki}(\lambda, \mu)}{\Delta(\lambda, \mu)}, \quad c_{is} = \frac{-2\lambda \sum_k a_{ks} \Delta_{ki}(\lambda, \mu)}{\Delta(\lambda, \mu)} \\ (i \neq s; i, k, s = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The classical formulas of Cayley for an orthogonal substitution are of course obtained by setting $a_{ik} = 0, i \neq k, a_{ii} = 1$.

Associated with the same nullsystem α_{ik} are ∞^1 dualities determined by the ratio $\lambda:\mu$. An interesting result is obtained by putting $\mu = 0$: for then the duality becomes the polar reciprocation in the absolute. The centers of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ are now conjugate in pairs and therefore reflections in these centers are commutative (§ 1). The equations (12) become simply $x'_i = -x_i$, and we get

THEOREM 10. *The transformation in R_{n-1} compounded of n commutative central reflections in the absolute reduces to an identical point transformation.*

This result is obtained by VOSS (loc. cit., p. 345), who also gives interesting geometrical consequences for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. Compounding $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ with $x'_i = -x_i$ gives a *proper* transformation for every n . If n is odd, however, the product reduces down to one of $n - 1$ central reflections. In this case, therefore, the transformation compounded of n and of $n - 1$ central reflections are essentially identical.

The unsolved form (12) of $\{\mathbf{T}\}$ is* very convenient for discussion of fixed elements. For, putting $x' = \rho x$, we find

$$(15a) \quad \phi(\rho) \equiv |\lambda a_{ik}(\rho + 1) - \mu \alpha_{ik}(\rho - 1)| = 0,$$

the corresponding fixed point x being given by

$$\sum_i [\lambda \alpha_{ik}(\rho + 1) - \mu \alpha_{ik}(\rho - 1)] x_i = 0.$$

Evidently $\phi(1) \neq 0$ if $|a_{ik}| \neq 0$, and we learn that *the characteristic equation † of the transformation (15) cannot have the root $+1$ if the absolute is non-degenerate.*

Geometrically, the determination of the fixed elements is the same as the

* Cf., e. g., LINDEMANN, Münchener Berichte (1896), p. 52.

† The "characteristic function" of (13), (15) is readily seen to be $\phi(\rho) + (-1)^n \Delta(\lambda, \mu)$, since for $\rho = 0$, the characteristic function must reduce to $|c_{ik}|$ or $(-1)^n$.

question of coincident elements in the polar reciprocation (7) and the nullsystem (8).

§ 4. *The transformations compounded of n central reflections or fewer.*

The transformation $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{a}^{(1)}\mathbf{a}^{(2)} \dots \mathbf{a}^{(n-t)}\}$, $t > 0$, becomes a $\{\mathbf{T}_n\}$ if multiplied by any $\{\mathbf{T}_t\}$ whose locus of centers does not intersect the locus of centers of $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$. The correlation *within* the latter M_{n-t-1} which is characteristic of $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$ is therefore determined by a duality (6), in the sense that a point in M_{n-t-1} corresponds to the M_{n-t-2} determined by the intersection of M_{n-t-1} and the M_{n-2} corresponding to the point by (6). Furthermore, it is evident that the relation

$$\{\mathbf{T}_n\} \equiv \{\mathbf{T}_t \mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$$

indicated above, implies that the locus of centers of $\{\mathbf{T}_t\}$ corresponds under the duality defining $\{\mathbf{T}_n\}$ to the locus of centers M_{n-t-1} of $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$. As remarked before, these must not intersect. The necessary and sufficient condition is found. For if M_{n-t-1} is defined by

$$(16) \quad (\nu^{(1)}x) = 0, (\nu^{(2)}x) = 0, \dots, (\nu^{(t)}x) = 0,$$

then the corresponding M_{t-1} under (6) is determined from

$$(17) \quad \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}) a_i = \sigma^{(1)} \nu_k^{(1)} + \sigma^{(2)} \nu_k^{(2)} + \dots + \sigma^{(t)} \nu_k^{(t)} \quad (i, k=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

If then the a 's satisfy (16), the condition appears in the vanishing of the determinant formed by bordering $\Delta(\lambda, \mu)$ by the ν 's. Denoting this bordered determinant by

$$\Delta^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu),$$

we have

THEOREM 11. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the intersection of an M_{n-t-1} (16) with the M_{t-1} corresponding to it under any duality is found by equating to zero the determinant of order $n+t$ formed by bordering the determinant of the duality with the ν 's.*

In particular, $\Delta^{(1)}(\lambda, \mu) = 0$ defines in the coördinates ν_i the correlate of the absolute itself under $\{\Gamma\}$. That is, the envelope of the M_{n-2} 's which contain their corresponding points. This quadratic manifold is the second "fundamental locus" of the duality, the other being the absolute itself.*

Proceeding now to find the equations of $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$, the locus of centers being defined by (16) and $\Delta^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu) \neq 0$, we may at once write down the equations of the most general duality within M_{n-t-1} such that the M_{n-t-2} determined by any point shall lie in the M_{n-2} corresponding to that point under (6). These are

* LINDEMANN, *Vorlesungen* etc., vol. 2, p. 402; VOSS, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 13 (1873), p. 359.

$$(18) \quad \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}) a_i + \sigma^{(1)} \nu_k^{(1)} + \sigma^{(2)} \nu_k^{(2)} + \cdots + \sigma^{(t)} \nu_k^{(t)} = \rho u_k \quad (i, k=1, 2, \cdots, n).$$

The a 's satisfy (16) also, or

$$(19) \quad (\nu^{(1)} a) = 0, (\nu^{(2)} a) = 0, \dots, (\nu^{(t)} a) = 0.$$

The $n + t$ equations (18) and (19) may be solved for the a 's and σ 's, since $\Delta'(\lambda, \mu) \neq 0$. The a 's depend only upon the *intersection* of $(ux) = 0$ and the M_{n-t-1} (16).

As before, if $x \{ \mathbf{T}_{n-t} \} x'$, then choosing for u the polar M_{n-2} of x' with respect to $f = 0$, i. e., taking in (18),

$$(20) \quad u_k = \sum_i a_{ik} x'_i,$$

and assuming the a determined by (18) as the *first* center of $\{ \mathbf{T}_{n-t} \}$, then obviously $x \{ a \} x'$, as in the general case, § 3. Multiplying (18) by a_k and summing with respect to k , gives by (19) and (20) $\lambda f(a) = \rho f(x', a)$. Hence the equations of the a 's are

$$(21) \quad x_i - x'_i + \frac{2\lambda}{\rho} a_i = 0.$$

The equations of $\{ \mathbf{T}_{n-t} \}$ are then found by the elimination of the a 's, the u 's, and the σ 's from (18), (19), (20), and (21). In fact substituting from (20) and (21) in (18) and (19), gives

$$(22) \quad \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}) x_i + \sum_j (\lambda a_{jk} - \mu \alpha_{jk}) x'_j - \frac{2\lambda}{\rho} \sum_s \sigma^{(s)} \nu_k^{(s)} = 0$$

($i, j, k=1, 2, \cdots, n; s=1, 2, \cdots, t$),

$$(23) \quad (\nu^{(s)} x) - (\nu^{(s)} x') = 0.$$

Multiplying (22) by x_k and x'_k respectively and summing with respect to k gives as before the verification

$$f(x) = f(x').$$

We may therefore state

THEOREM 12. *The equations (22) and (23) define in unsolved form a linear transformation of the quadratic form $f(x)$ into itself, and every such transformation is given by these equations, t having any value from 0 to $n - 1$, and the α 's being elements of an arbitrary skew determinant.*

The form $x'_i = \sum c_{is} x_s$ for the transformation is readily obtained by solving (18), (19), (20) for a_i and substituting in (21). This gives

$$(24) \quad c_{is} = e_{is} - \frac{2\lambda \sum_k a_{ks} \Delta_{ki}^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu)}{\Delta^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where

$$e_{is} = 0 \quad (i \neq s), \quad e_{ii} = 1 \quad (i, k, s=1, 2, \cdots, n).$$

THEOREM 13. *The equations (24) give the form of the coefficient of every Hermite transformation.*

The determinant $|c_{is}| = (-1)^{n-t}$. This is easily seen by making a change of variable $y_s = (\nu^{(s)}x)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, t$, by which $n - t$ of the x 's remain unchanged, say x_{t+1}, \dots, x_n , it being assumed that the determinant

$$(25) \quad |\nu_1^{(1)}\nu_2^{(2)}\dots\nu_t^{(t)}|$$

of the matrix

$$(26) \quad ||\nu_1^{(1)}\nu_2^{(1)}\dots\nu_t^{(1)}||$$

does not vanish.

This transformation may readily be effected by first eliminating the σ 's, and then changing the variables.

The α 's are still arbitrary in (24), but no longer essential. In fact, (18) and (19) defining a duality in a linear M_{n-t-1} , contain at most $\frac{1}{2}(n-t)(n-t-1)$ essential parameters. And indeed, if the σ 's and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t be eliminated from (18) and (19) under the hypothesis (25) made above, there will remain $(n-t)$ equations for a_{t+1}, \dots, a_n , of the form

$$(27) \quad \sum_j (\lambda a'_{t+j, t+k} + \mu \alpha'_{t+j, t+k}) a_{t+j} = \rho u'_{t+k} \quad (j, k=1, 2, \dots, n-t),$$

in which the a 's and α 's are linear in the α 's and α 's respectively, and at the same time elements of a symmetric and skew determinant respectively. The coefficients of the a 's and α 's are quadratic in the determinants of order t of the matrix (25).

Since the number of independent determinants is $t(n-t)$, we find for the total number of essential parameters in (24),

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-t)(n-t-1) + t(n-t) = \frac{1}{2}(n-t)(n+t-1),^*$$

which agrees with the statement of Theorem 5 for $r = n - t$.

Returning to (22) and (23) for discussion of fixed elements $x' = \rho x$, we find for the characteristic function of (24),

$$(28) \quad \phi(\rho) = \frac{(-1)^{n-t}(1-\rho)^t \phi^{(t)}(\rho)}{\Delta^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu)},$$

where $\phi^{(t)}(\rho)$ is the determinant (15a) of the general case bordered with the ν 's. It is easy to transform $\phi^{(t)}(\rho)$ into the determinant of order $n - t$,

$$(29) \quad \phi^{(t)}(\rho) = |\lambda a'_{t+j, t+k}(\rho + 1) - \mu \alpha'_{t+j, t+k}(\rho - 1)|,$$

in which a' and α' have the same significance as above. The equations (28) and (29) give the theorem due to VOSS.[†]

* Cf. LINDEMANN, *Münchener Berichte* (1896), p. 66.

† VOSS, *Münchener Berichte* (1896), p. 14.

The characteristic function for any Hermite transformation (24), except for the factor $(1 - \rho)^t$, always has the form

$$|\lambda a'_{ik}(\rho + 1) - \mu \alpha'_{ik}(\rho - 1)| \quad (i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - t),$$

in which the a 's and α 's are elements of a symmetric and skew determinant respectively.

Finally, (22) and (23) show that $\rho = 1$ gives for the corresponding fixed point

$$\rho \sum_i \lambda a_{ik} x_i = \sum_s \sigma^{(s)} \nu_k^{(s)},$$

i. e., every point of the polar of the M_{n-t-1} (16) with respect to the absolute is fixed. Any other fixed point lies in the M_{n-t-1} (16), the discussion of their arrangement being precisely that of the general case if n is replaced by $n - t$.

The problem of the determination of all linear transformations of a quadratic form into itself may therefore be regarded as completely solved in this and the preceding sections. The derivation of canonical forms for any given case is a matter of no great difficulty. The question evidently depends primarily upon the discussion of the dualities (7) and (8) of § 3.

§ 5. Further resolution of the transformation into involutory transformations.

The inverse $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}^{-1}\}$ of the transformation (22), (23) is found by changing μ to $-\mu$. Hence $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$ is involutory when and only when $\mu = 0$, i. e., when $\{\mathbf{T}_{n-t}\}$ is compounded of reflections in centers conjugate with respect to the absolute. Such a transformation depends upon $t(n - t)$ essential parameters, viz., the coördinates of the locus of centers.

We now state the theorem:

THEOREM 14. *Every linear transformation of a quadratic form into itself may be resolved into the product of two involutory transformations.*

Consider any $\{\mathbf{T}_t\}$, $t = 2r$; then we shall prove

$$(30) \quad \{\mathbf{T}_t\} \equiv \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\} \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\},$$

where the a 's and also the b 's are conjugate in pairs.

For simplicity of statement, take $r = 2$. Then the locus of centers of $\{\mathbf{T}_4\}$ is ordinary space, M_3 , the transformation being characterized by a duality $\{\Gamma\}$ in M_3 , which is pointed out in § 3, is defined by means of a nullsystem $\{\mathbf{N}\}$ together with reciprocation in the absolute. From (6) and (8) § 3, it is readily seen that $\{a_1 a_2\} \equiv \{a_2 a_1\}$ when and only when a_2 lies in the plane corresponding to a_1 under $\{\mathbf{N}\}$. Thus the line A joining a_1, a_2 is invariant under $\{\mathbf{N}\}$, that is, A "belongs to $\{\mathbf{N}\}$," and the line B determined by b_1, b_2 enjoys the

same property.* Furthermore $A \{ \Gamma \} B$, by theorem 5. Hence, since the ∞^3 lines of $\{ \mathbf{N} \}$ transform by $\{ \Gamma \}$ into the ∞^3 lines of a nullsystem $\{ \mathbf{N}' \}$, it appears that the line A of $\{ \mathbf{N} \}$ becomes B of $\{ \mathbf{N}' \}$, that is, B belongs to $\{ \mathbf{N} \}$ and $\{ \mathbf{N}' \}$. Through any point b_1 passes one line of the congruence common to $\{ \mathbf{N} \}$ and $\{ \mathbf{N}' \}$, hence if b_1 is chosen arbitrarily, B is determined uniquely, and also A from $A \{ \Gamma \} B$, but a_1 is any point on A . Thus the resolution may be effected in ∞^2 ways.

The proof for $r > 2$ is precisely the same, and the degree of freedom is found to be r . For $t = 2r - 1$, it is only necessary to multiply $\{ \mathbf{T}_t \}$ by an $\{ \mathbf{a} \}$, and then apply the theorem, remembering that a may be chosen arbitrarily. For $n = 2r - 1$, it has already been remarked that the transformation is not different from $t = 2r - 2$.

As a general theorem, it may be stated that the resolution†

$$\{ \mathbf{T}_t \} \equiv \{ \mathbf{T}_\lambda \mathbf{T}_\mu \mathbf{T}_\nu \cdots \}$$

into involutory transformations may be effected in

$$\frac{1}{2}t(t+1) - (\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 + \cdots)$$

ways.

§6. Application to the case $n = 6$.

Special interest attaches to the case $n = 6$, for then the x 's satisfying $f(x) = 0$, $|a_{ik}| \neq 0$, may be assumed as line coördinates in ordinary space, R_3 , central reflection becomes the transformation defined by a nullsystem in R_3 , or, inversion in a linear line complex, while the transformations $\{ \mathbf{T}_t \}$ in question are the collineations and correlations of projective geometry, according as t is even or odd. In other words, we are concerned with line geometry in the sense of PLÜCKER. The involutory transformations $\{ \mathbf{T}_2 \}$ and $\{ \mathbf{T}_3 \}$ are respectively a skew reflection‡ and polar reciprocation in a quadric. Theorem 14 now gives the well known results that a general collineation is compounded of two polar reciprocations, and the general correlation of a skew reflection and a polar reciprocation. Resolving

$$(31) \quad \{ \mathbf{T}_6 \} \equiv \{ \mathbf{T}_2 \} \{ \mathbf{T}_2' \} \{ \mathbf{T}_2'' \},$$

gives the theorem due to WILSON, that the general collineation is compounded of three skew reflections. The resolution possesses nine degrees of freedom, and the discussion brings out some essential facts not given in the theorem of WILSON.

Let $\{ \mathbf{T}_2 \}$ in (31) be $\{ \mathbf{ab} \}$. Then if x and x' are the directrices of $\{ \mathbf{T}_2 \}$,

* For discussion of the nullsystem of MÖBIUS, reference may be made to LINDEMANN, *Vorlesungen*, vol. 2, p. 52.

† VOSS has given theorem 14 for $t = n = 2r$; *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 13, p. 343.

‡ Cf. WILSON, *Transactions*, vol. 1 (1900), pp. 193-196.

$a = x + \lambda x'$, $b = x - \lambda x'$, and from the duality $\lambda f(x, y) + \mu w(x, y) = 0$ defining $\{\mathbf{T}_6\}$, since a and b satisfy this, and also $f(a, b) = 0$, we find

$$(32) \quad \sum \alpha_{ik} x_i x'_k = 0 \quad (a_{ik} = -a_{ki}),$$

and the directrices of each component of $\{\mathbf{T}_6\}$ satisfy (32). Furthermore, it is readily found that the directrices of $\{\mathbf{T}'_2\}$ and $\{\mathbf{T}''_2\}$ belong to the congruence common to the complexes,

$$(33) \quad \lambda f(x, y) + \mu w(x, y) = 0, \quad \lambda f(x', y) + \mu w(x', y) = 0,$$

and one line of this congruence may be chosen arbitrarily. The complete result therefore is

THEOREM 15. *A general collineation may be resolved in ∞^9 ways into the product of three skew reflections. The first pair of directrices satisfy (32) in three-dimensional space. Either one having been chosen, the other belongs to a linear line complex containing the first. The remaining four directrices then belong to the linear line congruence (33), and one having been chosen arbitrarily the other three are determined uniquely.*

§ 7. Linear transformation of the alternating bilinear form into itself.

The alternating form

$$(34) \quad w(x, y) \equiv \sum \alpha_{ik} x_i y_k = 0 \quad (a_{ik} = -a_{ki}),$$

is invariant under the transformation obtained from (22) and (23) by changing the sign of the x' in (22); viz.,

$$(35) \quad \sum_k (\lambda a_{ik} + \mu \alpha_{ik}) x_i - \sum_i (\lambda a_{ik} - \mu \alpha_{ik}) x'_i - \frac{2\lambda}{\rho} \sum_s \sigma^{(s)} \gamma_k^{(s)} = 0,$$

$$(36) \quad (\nu^{(s)} x) - (\nu^{(s)} x') = 0 \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, t),$$

$$a_{ik} = a_{ki} \quad (i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

x and y being cogredient variables. For multiplying (35) by y_k and summing up, with respect to k ,

$$(37) \quad \lambda f(x, y) + \mu w(x, y) - \lambda f(x', y) + \mu w(x', y) - \frac{2\lambda}{\rho} \sum \sigma^{(s)} (\nu^{(s)} y) = 0.$$

In the same way are found three other equations, and from these is found by using (36),

$$w(x, y) = w(x', y').$$

The determinant of the solution of (35), (36) is $+1$, the reasoning being the same as in § 4. The characteristic function is therefore

$$\phi(\rho) = \frac{(1-\rho)^t \phi^t(-\rho)}{\Delta^{(t)}(\lambda, \mu)},$$

$\phi^{(t)}(\rho)$ having same meaning as in (28).

The a 's in (35) are arbitrary, but not essential parameters. The number of the latter is readily found to be

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-t)(n-t+1) + t(n-t) = \frac{1}{2}(n-t)(n+t+1).$$

By the method of this section all linear transformations of the required type are found.*

*Cf. VOSS, *Münchener Berichte* (1896), p. 20.

THE SHEFFIELD SCIENTIFIC SCHOOL,
YALE UNIVERSITY.